

Secondhand Smoke from Marijuana: The Return of a Familiar Problem

Matthew L. Springer, Ph.D.
Professor of Medicine, UCSF
8/16/17

Xiaoyin Wang
Jiangtao Liu
Ronak Derakhshandeh
Pooneh Nabavizadeh Rafsanjani
Leila Mohammadi
Jess Rodriguez
Rich Sievers
Sharina Ibrahim
Caroline Gilchrist

Suzaynn Schick
Peter Ganz
Stanton Glantz



Shilpa Narayan
Kranthi Pinnamaneni
Christian Heiss
Robert Su
Eric Nordsieck
Qiumei Chen
Rikki Sharma
Amanda Selchau
Stanley Ye
Stephenie Le
Emmy Luu
Olivia Danforth
Gus Gutierrez
Kirstin Aschbacher

Flight Attendant Medical Research Institute, NIH/NIDA,
Elfenworks Foundation, CA Tobacco-Related Disease Research Program



The Bad Ol' Days



The Bad Ol' Days



**Typical day
in 2016**

Back to the Future: CA, 2017?



17



Photo: Brennan Linsley / Associated Press

“Partygoers dance and smoke pot April 19, the first of two days of the annual 4/20 marijuana festival in Denver. The 4/20 event was the first one since Colorado legalized recreational marijuana in January.”

0 0.5 1 mile

Today

(4/20/17)



GOLDEN GATE PARK

abc 7
5:04 57°

Show ▾

Already: WA, 2015

...really!



**WE ARE THE NEW AMERICANA
HIGH ON LEGAL MARIJUANA
RAISED ON BIGGIE AND NIRVANA
WE ARE THE NEW AMERICANA**

ELEVATE THE MOMENT
WITH ZOOTS PREMIUM CANNABIS INFUSED EDIBLES



Elevate the moment with Washington's top selling marijuana infused edibles. You can trust Zoots to blend our proprietary Cypress™ extract with all natural ingredients to assure a controlled, consistent and pleasantly elevated experience every time.



ZOOTS

PREMIUM CANNABIS INFUSIONS



Find a local retailer at
www.zootology.com/store-finder



Warning: This product has intoxicating effects and may be habit forming. Marijuana can impair concentration, coordination, and judgment. Do not operate a vehicle or machinery under the influence of this drug. There may be health risks associated with consumption of this product. For use only by adults 21 and older. Keep out of the reach of children.

Already: SF, 2017... REALLY!!!



“NOW SERVING CANNABIS FOR BRUNCH
In S.F., gourmet fare infused with artisanal weed
offers medicated spreads to new connoisseurs”
– SF Chronicle 1/22/17



Secondhand smoke (SHS)

- **Smoking causes over 140,000 cardiovascular deaths in the US per year**
- **Secondhand smoke is estimated to cause ~50,000 US deaths/year, mostly from cardiovascular disease**
- **Smoking bans in public places lead to reduction in frequency of heart attacks**

Secondhand smoke (SHS)


Longterm secondhand smoke exposure
impairs *vascular endothelial function*

“blood vessel function”

Tobacco secondhand smoke exposure impairs ability of arteries to **vasodilate** when they need to pass more blood

(**Vasodilation**: Arteries grow in diameter when necessary)

Important: Impairment is temporary, but repeated exposures lead to **long-term** impairment



Measuring Endothelial Function

- Principle of Flow-Mediated Dilation (FMD) -

Ischemic dilation of
downstream vessels



Flow in artery ↑




Shear stress
on endothelium ↑



Nitric oxide ↑




Flow-mediated vasodilation



Alberts et al., 1995

Smooth muscle cells
Endothelial cells



Springer et al., 2003

10 μm

Brachial artery FMD gets lower with increasing cardiovascular risk factors

Dilation of coronary arteries in response to increased coronary blood flow gets lower with increasing cardiovascular risk factors

(Nabel, Selwyn, and Ganz, 1990)

Improves FMD:


Dark chocolate, green tea, red wine, *etc.*

Impairs FMD:



Age, smoking, secondhand smoke, *etc.*

Measuring Endothelial Function

“Flow-Mediated Dilation” (FMD)



Celermajer NEJM 1992




FMD=10%

Cigarette smoking is associated with dose-related and potentially reversible impairment of endothelium-dependent dilation in healthy young adults

DS Celermajer, KE Sorensen, D Georgakopoulos, C Bull, O Thomas, J Robinson and JE Deanfield


Circulation 1993;88;2149-2155

...that is, smoking impairs FMD



PASSIVE SMOKING AND IMPAIRED ENDOTHELIUM-DEPENDENT ARTERIAL DILATATION IN HEALTHY YOUNG ADULTS

DAVID S. CELERMAJER, PH.D., MARK R. ADAMS, M.B., B.S., PETER CLARKSON, M.B., B.S.,
JACQUI ROBINSON, R.N., ROBYN MCCREDIE, B.SC., ANN DONALD, AND JOHN E. DEANFIELD, M.B., CH.B.



**Several years of
secondhand smoke
exposure impairs FMD**

Brief Secondhand Smoke Exposure Depresses Endothelial Progenitor Cells Activity and Endothelial Function

Sustained Vascular Injury and Blunted Nitric Oxide Production

Christian Heiss, MD, DR MED,* Nicolas Amabile, MD,* Andrew C. Lee, MD,* Wendy May Real, BS,*
Suzaynn F. Schick, PHD,† David Lao, MD,* Maelene L. Wong, BS,* Sarah Jahn, MB,*
Franca S. Angeli, MD,* Petros Minasi, BA,* Matthew L. Springer, PHD,*
S. Katharine Hammond, PHD,‡ Stanton A. Glantz, PHD, FACC,* William Grossman, MD, FACC,*
John R. Balmes, MD,*† Yerem Yeghiazarians, MD, FACC*


San Francisco and Berkeley, California



Christian
Heiss

30 min SHS exposure impairs FMD



376 ± 43 µg/m³ RSP, constant sidestream smoke



Heiss et al., 2008


Bars = SEM

FMD measured in rat hindlimb using micro-ultrasound



1 minute of secondhand smoke (tobacco) exposure was enough to impair vascular endothelial function

670 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$



n=8 for all groups

*P<.01 impairment vs. mean of pre-exposure and recovery



ORIGINAL INVESTIGATION

Brief Exposure to Secondhand Smoke Reversibly Impairs Endothelial Vasodilatory Function

Kranthi Pinnamaneni MD¹, Richard E. Sievers BS², Rikki Sharma BS², Amanda M. Selchau BS², Gustavo Gutierrez AS³, Eric J. Nordsieck MD², Robert Su MD², Songtao An MD, PhD¹, Qiumei Chen MD, PhD¹, Xiaoyin Wang MD¹, Ronak Derakhshandeh MS², Kirstin Aschbacher PhD⁴, Christian Heiss MD, Dr med², Stanton A. Glantz PhD^{1,2}, Suzaynn F. Schick PhD⁵, Matthew L. Springer PhD^{1,2,6}

It's not enough simply to minimize public exposure to secondhand smoke; it's important to prevent exposure

Impairment of Endothelial Function by Little Cigar Secondhand Smoke

Jiangtao Liu, MD
Xiaoyin Wang, MD
Shilpa Narayan, BS
Stanton A. Glantz, PhD
Suzaynn F. Schick, PhD
Matthew L. Springer, PhD

Tobacco Regulatory Science. 2016;2(1):56-63





“Smokewar” by Rui Zheng, 2013
(the daughter of Xiaoyin Wang in my lab)



Problem: General public avoids tobacco SHS but many think marijuana SHS is ok



“There’s no nicotine”

“It’s natural”

“It’s medicinal”

“No one said it ISN’T ok”

Table 4. Various Analytes Including Tobacco-Specific Compounds and Heavy Metals Determined in Sidestream Smoke from Tobacco and Marijuana under Two Smoking Conditions^a

	ISO		extreme	
	tobacco	marijuana	tobacco	marijuana
	mainstream			
tar (mg/cig)	24.3 ± 1.8	49.7 ± 2.5*	17.2 ± 1.8	30.8 ± 1.6*
NO ($\mu\text{g}/\text{cig}$)	1101 ± 47	2087 ± 152*	1419 ± 124	2631 ± 241*
NO _x ($\mu\text{g}/\text{cig}$)	1172 ± 44	2284 ± 229*	1521 ± 153	2880 ± 323*
CO (mg/cig)	61.7 ± 2.0	54.0 ± 3.7*	61.6 ± 2.9	50.6 ± 3.9*
nicotine (mg/cig)	4.77 ± 0.26	0.065 ± 0.018*	3.11 ± 0.23	0.074 ± 0.029*
ammonia ($\mu\text{g}/\text{cig}$)	5568 ± 322	14270 ± 472*	3919 ± 327	10743 ± 675*
HCN ($\mu\text{g}/\text{cig}$)	83.8 ± 7.8	685 ± 29*	103 ± 10	678 ± 72*
NNN	41 ± 4.8	<0.634*	28 ± 2.0	0.634–2.0*
NAT	17.4 ± 1.4	<2.34*	10.2 ± 1.1	<2.34*
NAB	2.71 ± 0.52	<0.793*	0.79–2.5	<0.793
NNK	92 ± 11.7	<4.65*	61 ± 5.1	<4.65*
mercury	8.32 ± 0.57	<4.40*	6.31 ± 0.61	<4.40*
cadmium	478 ± 19	4.0–13.4*	360 ± 20	4.0–13.4*
lead	34.5–115	<34.5	34.5–115	<34.5
chromium	31.0–103	31.0–103	<31.0	31.0–103
nickel	35.5–118	35.5–118	<35.5	<35.5
arsenic	<11.3	<11.3	<11.3	<11.3
selenium	<17.5	<17.5	<17.5	<17.5

^a Values are provided ± standard deviations. For tar, nicotine, and CO, $n = 20$. For all others, $n = 7$. Units are ng/cigarette unless noted differently. * $P < 0.05$ vs tobacco. Values shown with "<" were below the limit of detection; values shown as a range were above the limit of detection but below the limit of quantitation.

Table 5. Miscellaneous Organics Determined in Mainstream and Sidestream Smoke from Tobacco and Marijuana under Two Smoking Conditions^a

	ISO		extreme	
	tobacco	marijuana	tobacco	marijuana
	mainstream			
pyridine	31.1 ± 1.7	34.6 ± 4.3	59 ± 4.9	93.0 ± 8.9*
quinoline	1.31 ± 0.08	1.06 ± 0.26*	2.22 ± 0.22	2.68 ± 0.34*
1,3-butadiene	64.8 ± 2.2	79.5 ± 7.4*	124 ± 7	138 ± 17
isoprene	286 ± 15	74.0 ± 6.5*	540 ± 18	132 ± 19*
acrylonitrile	13 ± 1.2	36.6 ± 4.3*	24 ± 0.9	66.9 ± 9.5*
benzene	62.2 ± 3.5	58.3 ± 5.9	94.6 ± 2.6	84.4 ± 8.9*
toluene	103 ± 6	124 ± 15*	169 ± 3	199 ± 25*
styrene	15 ± 0.6	17.2 ± 2.3*	28.6 ± 2.0	44.7 ± 4.2*
	sidestream			
pyridine	265 ± 11	307 ± 14*	225 ± 9	278 ± 22*
quinoline	9.94 ± 0.92	11.3 ± 0.7*	8.53 ± 0.54	9.82 ± 1.10*
1,3-butadiene	372 ± 12	412 ± 27*	269 ± 13	420 ± 22*
isoprene	1459 ± 82	656 ± 40*	1153 ± 51	614 ± 31*
acrylonitrile	102 ± 4	295 ± 21*	73.8 ± 4.7	273 ± 17*
benzene	290 ± 11	341 ± 12*	203 ± 11	328 ± 18*
toluene	516 ± 20	704 ± 29*	393 ± 32	729 ± 28*
styrene	105 ± 10	162 ± 10*	85.2 ± 10.6	175 ± 9*

^a Values are provided ± standard deviations; $n = 7$. Units are μg /cigarette. * $P < 0.05$ vs tobacco.

marijuana was ammonia. In marijuana smoke, ammonia was found at levels about 20-fold those in tobacco in mainstream smoke (Table 3) and about 3-fold greater in sidestream smoke (Table 4), although the absolute values were very much greater in sidestream smoke. The amount of ammonia produced during combustion of tobacco has been related to the amount of nitrate fertilizer applied during growth (30). The simplest explanation for the very high levels of ammonia found in marijuana smoke may be that the marijuana used for this study contained more nitrate than the tobacco sample. The marijuana plants were grown on soil-less growth medium. All fertilizers were commercially available and consisted of water-soluble hydroponic vegetable fertilizers used for horticulture and contained nitrogen

Table 6. Aromatic Amines Determined in Mainstream and Sidestream Smoke from Tobacco and Marijuana under Two Smoking Conditions^a

	ISO		extreme	
	tobacco	marijuana	tobacco	marijuana
	mainstream			
1-aminonaphthalene	24.9 ± 2.6	84.4 ± 13.2*	35.1 ± 5.7	178 ± 17*
2-aminonaphthalene	9.38 ± 0.62	33.6 ± 3.5*	12.9 ± 1.2	66.3 ± 6.8*
3-aminobiphenyl	2.22 ± 0.18	9.15 ± 0.63*	3.68 ± 0.44	18.8 ± 1.8*
4-aminobiphenyl	1.56 ± 0.13	6.17 ± 0.44*	2.54 ± 0.17	13.5 ± 1.5*
	sidestream			
1-aminonaphthalene	195 ± 16	305 ± 21*	144 ± 8	266 ± 23*
2-aminonaphthalene	136 ± 7	177 ± 19*	79.4 ± 7.4	139 ± 12*
3-aminobiphenyl	33 ± 2.1	50.4 ± 3.7*	19.7 ± 1.6	40.6 ± 2.4*
4-aminobiphenyl	23.2 ± 1.8	31.2 ± 2.8*	13.9 ± 1.3	27.3 ± 2.2

^a Values are provided ± standard deviations; $n = 7$. Units are ng/cigarette. * $P < 0.05$ vs tobacco.

Table 7. Selected Carbonyl Compounds Determined in Mainstream and Sidestream Smoke from Tobacco and Marijuana under Two Smoking Conditions^a

	ISO		extreme	
	tobacco	marijuana	tobacco	marijuana
	mainstream			
formaldehyde	200 ± 28	25.1 ± 2.7*	543 ± 91	66.5 ± 11.8*
acetaldehyde	872 ± 101	448 ± 44*	1555 ± 222	1021 ± 99*
acetone	454 ± 44	237 ± 23*	826 ± 93	514 ± 32*
acrolein	125 ± 13	54.3 ± 4.5*	251 ± 32	148 ± 13*
propionaldehyde	72.1 ± 8.1	32.3 ± 3.2*	97.8 ± 14.4	74.0 ± 6.4*
crotonaldehyde	62.9 ± 7.3	23.1 ± 1.5*	127 ± 17	56.7 ± 7.7*
methyl ethyl ketone	135 ± 16	62.4 ± 5.5*	265 ± 27	140 ± 7*
butyraldehyde	47.1 ± 5.7	46.5 ± 3.8	77.1 ± 10.0	110 ± 8*
	sidestream			
formaldehyde	886 ± 47	383 ± 27*	662 ± 29	202 ± 34*
acetaldehyde	1587 ± 45	1170 ± 69*	1383 ± 37	896 ± 112*
acetone	828 ± 22	566 ± 34*	720 ± 22	405 ± 54*
acrolein	437 ± 10	304 ± 20*	316 ± 12	179 ± 24*
propionaldehyde	121 ± 6	120 ± 6	116 ± 5	93.4 ± 11.7*
crotonaldehyde	106 ± 3	49.9 ± 3.8*	97.5 ± 8.7	42.9 ± 4.7*
methyl ethyl ketone	222 ± 9	160 ± 11*	202 ± 17	116 ± 13*
butyraldehyde	67.1 ± 2.7	173 ± 12*	60.2 ± 1.7	139 ± 13*

^a Values are provided ± standard deviations; $n = 7$. Units are μg /cigarette. * $P < 0.05$ vs tobacco.

in the form of both nitrate and ammoniacal nitrogen. However, it is not known to what extent the differences in the growing conditions between the marijuana and the tobacco, including the types of fertilizers used, influenced the levels of nitrates in the plants. The temperature of combustion can also influence the production of ammonia. Burning tobacco results in a reduction of nitrate to ammonia, which is released to a greater extent during sidestream smoke formation (31), suggesting that lower combustion temperatures favor the production of ammonia. Combustion temperature differences between marijuana and tobacco may have also contributed to the differences in ammonia yield, but this was not verified.

Tobacco-specific nitrosamines were not found in the marijuana smoke (Tables 3 and 4). This result was expected, given that these compounds are derived from nicotine. Arsenic and lead were also noticeably absent from the marijuana smoke, which is consistent with the certificate of analysis provided with the plant material (data not shown). Again, this could be a function of the relatively controlled growth conditions.

NO and NO_x were significantly elevated in the marijuana smoke under both smoking regimes and in mainstream (Table 3) and sidestream smoke (Table 4). A logical explanation would be that these are arising from the nitrate present in the fertilizer and would be consistent with the very high ammonia yields.

Table 9. PAHs and Aza-arenes Determined in Mainstream Smoke from Tobacco and Marijuana under Two Smoking Conditions^a

no.		ISO		extreme	
		tobacco	marijuana	tobacco	marijuana
		mainstream			
1	naphthalene	2907 ± 159	2070 ± 290*	4908 ± 456	4459 ± 646
2	1-methylnaphthalene	2789 ± 176	2057 ± 302*	4888 ± 491	4409 ± 604
3	2-methylnaphthalene	2093 ± 137	1292 ± 189*	3666 ± 374	2917 ± 477*
4	acenaphthylene	385 ± 22	235 ± 31*	711 ± 51	459 ± 60*
5	acenaphthene	172 ± 10	309 ± 22	309 ± 22	213 ± 48*
6	fluorene	769 ± 42	366 ± 37*	1369 ± 100	659 ± 64*
7	phenanthrene	293 ± 14	515 ± 32	515 ± 32	476 ± 45
8	anthracene	91.8 ± 5.4	70.9 ± 6.7*	162 ± 13	136 ± 9*
9	fluoranthene	96.8 ± 3.7	65.6 ± 6.5*	171 ± 11	117 ± 12*
10	pyrene	88.8 ± 4.3	45.6 ± 4.4*	154 ± 12	82.3 ± 11.2*
11	benzo(a)anthracene	30.5 ± 2.5	26.2 ± 3.4*	52 ± 5.8	43.1 ± 7.9*
12	chrysene	38.8 ± 2.3	26.2 ± 1.4*	61.7 ± 7.4	56.3 ± 7.9
13	benzo(b)fluoranthene	10.8 ± 0.6	7.18 ± 1.12*	21.9 ± 3.1	16.2 ± 3.6*
14	benzo(k)fluoranthene	3.42 ± 0.32	1.52 ± 0.26*	7.45 ± 1.47	4.54 ± 0.96*
15	benzo(e)pyrene	11 ± 0.6	6.15 ± 0.37*	19.2 ± 1.3	12.6 ± 2.7*
16	benzo(a)pyrene	14.3 ± 1.2	8.76 ± 1.12*	25.1 ± 2.5	15.5 ± 2.9*
17	perylene	3.9 ± 0.46	3.72 ± 0.79	10.8 ± 2.3	6.10 ± 0.82*
18	indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	4.58 ± 0.89	3.60 ± 0.48*	10.1 ± 0.9	8.65 ± 3.11
19	dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1.15 ± 0.21	1.41 ± 0.19*	4.84 ± 1.05	2.83 ± 0.59*
20	benzo(g,h,i)perylene	3.77 ± 0.66	2.56 ± 0.36*	7.17 ± 1.02	6.03 ± 2.34
21	5-methylchrysene	<0.035	<0.035	<0.071	<0.071
22	benzo(b)fluoranthene	11.5 ± 1.4	6.47 ± 0.86*	19.1 ± 1.7	17.6 ± 1.4
23	benzo(j)fluoranthene	5.81 ± 0.44	4.27 ± 0.83*	13.3 ± 1.8	12.2 ± 2.1
24	dibenz(a,h)acridine	<0.314	<0.314	<0.628	<0.628
25	dibenz(a,j)acridine	<0.260	<0.260	<0.519	<0.519
26	7H-dibenzo(c,g)carbazole	<0.139	<0.139	<0.278	<0.278
27	dibenz(a,l)pyrene	<0.317	<0.317	<0.634	<0.634
28	dibenz(a,e)pyrene	0.531 ± 0.198	0.156–0.522	<0.313	<0.313
29	dibenz(a,i)pyrene	0.987 ± 0.145	0.164–0.548*	2.55 ± 0.60	<0.329*
30	dibenz(a,h)pyrene	0.177–0.589	<0.177	<0.354	<0.354

^a Values are provided ± standard deviations; $n = 7$. Units are ng/cigarette. * $P < 0.05$ vs tobacco. Values shown with "<" were below the limit of detection; values shown as a range were above the limit of detection but below the limit of quantitation.

Table 10. PAHs and Aza-arenes Determined in Sidestream Smoke from Tobacco and Marijuana under Two Smoking Conditions^a

no.		ISO		extreme	
		tobacco	marijuana	tobacco	marijuana
		mainstream			
1	naphthalene	6861 ± 419	16748 ± 2396*	10111 ± 758	14398 ± 2614*
2	1-methylnaphthalene	6265 ± 365	14812 ± 1511*	7115 ± 787	11016 ± 2954*
3	2-methylnaphthalene	6513 ± 306	11832 ± 1078*	7137 ± 778	9030 ± 2236
4	acenaphthylene	2684 ± 184	4056 ± 452*	2171 ± 123	2876 ± 571*
5	acenaphthene	960 ± 31	1345 ± 101*	791 ± 51	873 ± 163
6	fluorene	1429 ± 71	1073 ± 72*	1242 ± 56	873 ± 67*
7	phenanthrene	2818 ± 112	4932 ± 306*	2117 ± 98	3113 ± 477*
8	anthracene	755 ± 38	1135 ± 75*	542 ± 26	693 ± 111*
9	fluoranthene	699 ± 26	952 ± 61*	520 ± 24	619 ± 78*
10	pyrene	528 ± 35	659 ± 60*	377 ± 25	398 ± 38
11	benzo(a)anthracene	159 ± 8	245 ± 16*	113 ± 7	170 ± 21*
12	chrysene	401 ± 21	488 ± 28*	331 ± 27	381 ± 27*
13	benzo(b)fluoranthene	98.4 ± 8.4	114 ± 7*	79.8 ± 4.3	80.3 ± 8.0
14	benzo(k)fluoranthene	25.8 ± 4.1	27.3 ± 2.8	19.3 ± 3.1	19.7 ± 2.2
15	benzo(e)pyrene	94.9 ± 6.9	87.9 ± 7.5	72.9 ± 3.8	63.1 ± 6.2*
16	benzo(a)pyrene	91.7 ± 7.1	101 ± 9*	62.7 ± 4.2	69.7 ± 6.3*
17	perylene	23.6 ± 2.9	26.4 ± 4.7	16.4 ± 1.7	19.9 ± 2.7*
18	indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	41.7 ± 5.7	45.9 ± 6.8	32.8 ± 6.6	27.4 ± 3.3
19	dibenz(a,h)anthracene	13.8 ± 3.1	15.6 ± 3.2	13.9 ± 2.8	10.8 ± 1.2*
20	benzo(g,h,i)perylene	44.7 ± 8.0	41.8 ± 9.6	32.8 ± 7.2	30 ± 5.0
21	5-methylchrysene	<0.354	<0.354	<0.354	<0.354
22	benzo(b)fluoranthene	118 ± 9	102 ± 11*	90.4 ± 5.6	86.7 ± 12.5
23	benzo(j)fluoranthene	102 ± 7	120 ± 16*	72.3 ± 6.2	124 ± 14*
24	dibenz(a,h)acridine	<3.138	<3.138	<3.138	<3.138
25	dibenz(a,j)acridine	<2.597	<2.597	<2.597	<2.597
26	7H-dibenzo(c,g)carbazole	<1.389	<1.389	<1.389	<1.389
27	dibenz(a,l)pyrene	<3.172	<3.172	<3.172	<3.172
28	dibenz(a,e)pyrene	<1.565	<1.565	<1.565	<1.565
29	dibenz(a,i)pyrene	<1.644	<1.644	<1.644	<1.644
30	dibenz(a,h)pyrene	<1.768	<1.768	<1.768	<1.768

^a Values are provided ± standard deviations; $n = 7$. Units are ng/cigarette. * $P < 0.05$ vs tobacco. Values shown with "<" were below the limit of detection.

Table 4. Various Analytes Including Tobacco-Specific Compounds and Heavy Metals Determined in Sidestream Smoke from Tobacco and Marijuana under Two Smoking Conditions^a

	ISO		extreme	
	tobacco	marijuana	tobacco	marijuana
tar (mg/cig)	24.3 ± 1.8	49.7 ± 2.5*	103 ± 11.7	118 ± 11.8
NO (μg/cig)	1101 ± 47	2087 ± 152*	<11.3	<11.3
NO _x (μg/cig)	1172 ± 44	2284 ± 229*	<17.5	<17.5
CO (mg/cig)	61.7 ± 2.0	54.0 ± 3.7*	61.7 ± 2.0	54.0 ± 3.7*
nicotine (mg/cig)	4.77 ± 0.26	0.065 ± 0.018*	4.77 ± 0.26	0.065 ± 0.018*
ammonia (μg/cig)	5568 ± 322	14270 ± 472*	5568 ± 322	14270 ± 472*
HCN (μg/cig)	83.8 ± 7.8	685 ± 29*	83.8 ± 7.8	685 ± 29*
NAT	17.4 ± 1.4	<2.54*	10.2 ± 1.1	<2.54*
NAB	2.71 ± 0.52	<0.793*	0.79 ± 2.5	<0.793*
NNK	92 ± 11.7	<4.65*	61 ± 3.1	<4.65*
mercury	8.32 ± 0.57	<4.40*	6.31 ± 0.61	<4.40*
cadmium	47.8 ± 1.9	4.0 ± 13.4*	360 ± 20	4.0 ± 13.4*
lead	245 ± 116	3.5 ± 11.6	315 ± 116	3.5 ± 11.6

Table 6. Aromatic Amines Determined in Mainstream and Sidestream Smoke from Tobacco and Marijuana under Two Smoking Conditions^a

	mainstream		sidestream	
	tobacco	marijuana	tobacco	marijuana
1-aminobenzene	1.56 ± 0.13	6.17 ± 0.44*	2.54 ± 0.17	13.5 ± 1.5*
2-aminobenzene	195 ± 16	305 ± 21*	144 ± 8	266 ± 23*
3-aminobenzene	136 ± 7	177 ± 19*	79.4 ± 7.4	139 ± 12*
4-aminobenzene	85 ± 2.4	80.4 ± 4.7*	41.9 ± 2.4	40.6 ± 2.2*
5-aminobenzene	1.56 ± 0.13	6.17 ± 0.44*	2.54 ± 0.17	13.5 ± 1.5*
6-aminobenzene	195 ± 16	305 ± 21*	144 ± 8	266 ± 23*
7-aminobenzene	136 ± 7	177 ± 19*	79.4 ± 7.4	139 ± 12*
8-aminobenzene	85 ± 2.4	80.4 ± 4.7*	41.9 ± 2.4	40.6 ± 2.2*
9-aminobenzene	1.56 ± 0.13	6.17 ± 0.44*	2.54 ± 0.17	13.5 ± 1.5*
10-aminobenzene	195 ± 16	305 ± 21*	144 ± 8	266 ± 23*
11-aminobenzene	136 ± 7	177 ± 19*	79.4 ± 7.4	139 ± 12*
12-aminobenzene	85 ± 2.4	80.4 ± 4.7*	41.9 ± 2.4	40.6 ± 2.2*
13-aminobenzene	1.56 ± 0.13	6.17 ± 0.44*	2.54 ± 0.17	13.5 ± 1.5*
14-aminobenzene	195 ± 16	305 ± 21*	144 ± 8	266 ± 23*
15-aminobenzene	136 ± 7	177 ± 19*	79.4 ± 7.4	139 ± 12*
16-aminobenzene	85 ± 2.4	80.4 ± 4.7*	41.9 ± 2.4	40.6 ± 2.2*
17-aminobenzene	1.56 ± 0.13	6.17 ± 0.44*	2.54 ± 0.17	13.5 ± 1.5*
18-aminobenzene	195 ± 16	305 ± 21*	144 ± 8	266 ± 23*
19-aminobenzene	136 ± 7	177 ± 19*	79.4 ± 7.4	139 ± 12*
20-aminobenzene	85 ± 2.4	80.4 ± 4.7*	41.9 ± 2.4	40.6 ± 2.2*

Table 9. PAHs and Aza-arenes Determined in Mainstream Smoke from Tobacco and Marijuana under Two Smoking Conditions^a

	ISO		extreme	
	tobacco	marijuana	tobacco	marijuana
1-naphthalene	2907 ± 159	2070 ± 290*	4908 ± 456	4459 ± 646
1-methylnaphthalene	2789 ± 176	2057 ± 302*	4888 ± 491	4409 ± 604
2-naphthalene	2907 ± 159	2070 ± 290*	4908 ± 456	4459 ± 646
2-methylnaphthalene	2789 ± 176	2057 ± 302*	4888 ± 491	4409 ± 604
fluorene	769 ± 42	366 ± 37*	1369 ± 100	659 ± 64*
phenanthrene	293 ± 14	273 ± 23	515 ± 32	476 ± 45
anthracene	91.8 ± 5.4	70.9 ± 6.7*	162 ± 13	136 ± 9*
fluoranthene	96.8 ± 3.7	65.6 ± 6.5*	171 ± 11	117 ± 12*
pyrene	88.8 ± 4.3	45.6 ± 4.4*	154 ± 12	82.3 ± 11.2*
benzo(a)pyrene	14.3 ± 1.2	9.9 ± 1.1*	25.0 ± 2.9*	16.1 ± 7.9*
benzo(b)pyrene	3.9 ± 0.46	2.5 ± 0.3*	10.0 ± 0.82	6.5 ± 7.9
benzo(k)fluoranthene	3.42 ± 0.32	1.52 ± 0.26*	7.45 ± 1.47	4.54 ± 0.96*
benzo(e)pyrene	4.58 ± 0.89	3.60 ± 0.48*	10.1 ± 0.9	8.65 ± 3.11
indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.15 ± 0.21	0.7 ± 0.19*	4.4 ± 0.3	2.8 ± 0.2
perylene	3.77 ± 0.66	2.3 ± 0.3*	7.0 ± 0.7	4.6 ± 0.5
indole	11.5 ± 1.4	8.88 ± 4.7	17.6 ± 1.4	13.3 ± 2.1
acetaldehyde	5.81 ± 0.44	4.0 ± 0.35*	11.7 ± 0.628	8.2 ± 0.519
acrolein	<0.314	<0.260	<0.519	<0.634
methyl ethyl ketone	<0.139	<0.137	<0.278	<0.313
phenol	0.1 ± 0.198	0.1 ± 0.145	<0.329*	<0.354
m + p-cresols	0.1 ± 0.589	<0.177	<0.354	<0.354

Dried plant smoke: similar chemicals in varied proportions

Sample comparisons of components of tobacco and marijuana secondhand smoke

	tobacco	marijuana
tar (mg/cig)	24.3 ± 1.8	49.7 ± 2.5*
NO (μg/cig)	1101 ± 47	2087 ± 152*
CO (mg/cig)	61.7 ± 2.0	54.0 ± 3.7*
nicotine (mg/cig)	4.77 ± 0.26	0.065 ± 0.018*
ammonia (μg/cig)	5568 ± 322	14270 ± 472*
HCN (μg/cig)	83.8 ± 7.8	685 ± 29*
pyridine (μg/cig)	265 ± 11	307 ± 14*
benzene (μg/cig)	290 ± 11	341 ± 12*
toluene (μg/cig)	516 ± 20	704 ± 29*
styrene (μg/cig)	105 ± 10	162 ± 10*

	tobacco	marijuana
naphthalene (ng/cig)	6861 ± 419	16748 ± 2396*
formaldehyde (μg/cig)	888 ± 47	383 ± 27*
acetaldehyde (μg/cig)	1587 ± 45	1170 ± 69*
acrolein (μg/cig)	437 ± 10	304 ± 20*
methyl ethyl ketone (μg/cig)	222 ± 9*	160 ± 11*
phenol (μg/cig)	264 ± 13	260 ± 11
m + p-cresols (μg/cig)	64.6 ± 2.5	104 ± 6*
pyrene (ng/cig)	528 ± 35	609 ± 60*
benzo(e)pyrene (ng/cig)	94.9 ± 6.9	87.9 ± 7.5
anthracene (ng/cig)	755 ± 38	1135 ± 75*

From Moir et al., 2008.

Subset of 65 components analyzed under standard tobacco smoking conditions

in the form of both nitrate and ammoniacal nitrogen. However, it is not known to what extent the differences in the growing conditions between the marijuana and the tobacco, including the types of fertilizers used, influenced the levels of nitrate in the plants. The temperature of combustion can also influence the production of ammonia. Burning tobacco results in reduction of nitrate to ammonia, which is released to a great extent during sidestream smoke formation (37), suggesting the lower combustion temperatures favor the production of ammonia. Ammonia is a major component of sidestream smoke. Ammonia is derived from the marijuana smoke, which is consistent with the certificate of analysis provided with the plant material (data not shown). Again, this could be a function of the relatively controlled growth conditions. NO and NO_x were significantly elevated in the marijuana smoke under both smoking regimes and in mainstream (Table 3) and sidestream smoke (Table 4). A logical explanation would be that these are arising from the nitrate present in the fertilizer and would be consistent with the very high ammonia yields.



Inhaling a whole chemistry lab...

Ammonia is a major component of sidestream smoke. Ammonia is derived from the marijuana smoke, which is consistent with the certificate of analysis provided with the plant material (data not shown). Again, this could be a function of the relatively controlled growth conditions. NO and NO_x were significantly elevated in the marijuana smoke under both smoking regimes and in mainstream (Table 3) and sidestream smoke (Table 4). A logical explanation would be that these are arising from the nitrate present in the fertilizer and would be consistent with the very high ammonia yields.

* with "<" were below the limit of



U.S. Department of Justice Drug Enforcement Administration
Office of Diversion Control

HELP

Please make any requested changes to your registered schedules.

[General Instructions.](#)

2. Business Activity/Schedules

Your business activity is: RESEARCHER (I)

Please make any requested changes to your registered schedules below, and select Next to continue.

DRUG SCHEDULES [see schedules](#)

Select all that apply



Schedule

I

Is the researcher human? Both Human Only Non-Human Only

Check here if you require order forms to only purchase Schedule I and II from suppliers.



Fields with a () are required.*

<-Previous

Next->

-Cancel-


Marijuana SHS for 30 minutes impaired FMD (American Heart Association, Chicago, Nov. 2014)



low dose = $\sim 200 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ particles;
high dose = $\sim 670 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ particles
(starting concentrations)

“30 minutes is kind of long, how about shorter times?”


marijuana 1 min



59% drop in FMD


Marijuana SHS for 1 minute lowered FMD

“Could the impairment in FMD be caused by smoke from the burning paper, rather than tobacco and marijuana?”



SHS from marijuana without paper still impairs FMD


“They totally smoke out the rats”



The smoke was invisible in the exposure chamber


“How long does it take for FMD to recover?”

1 minute exposure (THC-free)



Marijuana SHS for 1 minute lowered FMD for at least 90 minutes

“How do marijuana and tobacco compare in impairment of FMD?”



Impairment from one minute of marijuana SHS persists longer than impairment from tobacco SHS

Summary of marijuana results

Wang et al., 2016, J Am Heart Assoc 5:e003858

Marijuana SHS for one minute substantially impairs vascular endothelial function in rats.

Neither THC nor paper smoke are required for marijuana SHS to impair vascular function.

...nicotine is not required for impairment of vascular function by smoke.

One minute of marijuana SHS exposure impairs vascular function for at least 90 minutes, longer than impairment from tobacco SHS.

Physicians



commentary by AHA past president,
Ralph Sacco, MD, FAHA

Physicians

Presented at the Pediatric Academic Societies 2016 Meeting (Dr. Karen Wilson):

One in six infants and toddlers admitted to a Colorado hospital with coughing, wheezing and other symptoms of bronchiolitis tested positive for marijuana exposure.

Regulators and lawmakers



Blue Ribbon
Commission
on
**MARIJUANA
POLICY**



[ABOUT THE COMMISSION](#) [PUBLICATIONS](#) [COMMISSIONERS](#) [UPCOMING EVENTS](#)

Watch the Blue Ribbon Commission Public Forums →

How do we protect our kids? [\[more\]](#)

Steering Committee

Gavin Newsom
Lieutenant Governor of
California

Who We Are

The Blue Ribbon Commission on Marijuana Policy was formed in light of the likelihood that a marijuana legalization initiative will be placed

policy challenges and offer possible solutions. The Commission is comprised of leading policymakers, public health experts and

Regulators and lawmakers

Toronto Star 11/25/15:

Using medical marijuana now OK in public places in Ontario under new regulations

The exemption includes everything from movie theatres to restaurants, offices, stadiums, playgrounds full of children and more

Toronto Star 11/26/15:

Ontario government taking medical marijuana plan back to the drawing board

Associate Health Minister Dipika Damerla quickly reversed course Thursday over concerns about exposure to second-hand cannabis smoke in restaurants, theatres, offices and other public spaces where tobacco smoking is banned.

Regulators and lawmakers

California State Assembly bill AB 2300 (Jim Woods)

Clarifies that landlords can prohibit smoking of marijuana even with medicinal ID card in properties where tobacco smoking is banned

- Our 2014 report cited as a major reason for the bill
- Passed State Assembly Judiciary Committee 10-0
- Passed State Assembly 77-0 (3 non-votes)
- Died in State Senate Judiciary Committee

[Home](#)[News](#)[Town Square](#)[Blogs](#)[A&E](#)[Real Estate](#)[Print Edition](#)

NEWS



Uploaded: Tue, Aug 15, 2017, 11:40 pm

City Council tweaks proposal for smoking restrictions at apartments

Medical marijuana smoking, with doctor's note, would be allowed only in designated area at complexes

by Jeremy Walsh / Pleasanton Weekly

The Pleasanton City Council took another step Tuesday toward establishing new stringent

Regulators and lawmakers

The Pleasanton City Council took another step Tuesday toward establishing new stringent smoking regulations for rental apartment complexes across the city.

Reviewing a proposed ordinance they gave initial support to two months ago, council members left most of the original proposal intact -- including the ban on tobacco smoking in apartment units and common areas -- but they adjusted course to limit medical marijuana smoking at complexes only to designated outdoor smoking areas.

Vice Mayor Jerry Pentin said he supported that option for medical marijuana smoking "so we're not banning it entirely but we're still keeping it away from people who are inside their own rental units and dealing with secondhand smoke."

Regulators and lawmakers

City staff's recommendation was to allow medical marijuana smoking inside apartment units "if tenant provides landlord written documentation that tenant needs it for medical purposes, no alternative means of delivery or ingestion are available and tenant is unable to smoke outside," assistant city attorney Larissa Seto said.

The council's follow-up discussion Tuesday focused on how to reduce the effects of secondhand smoke on neighbors living in close quarters in apartments while accommodating residents who rely on marijuana for legitimate medical reasons but can only smoke it -- and what about residents physically unable to leave their apartments...

Tamiko Johnson of the Alameda County Public Health Department also voiced support for prohibiting medical marijuana smoking indoors.

Regulators and lawmakers

"There's no safe level of secondhand smoke exposure," Johnson told the council. "From experience with other cities, gaining compliance with your smoke-free-housing law and having effective enforcement if you're allowing someone to smoke anything inside their apartment is going to be incredibly difficult for you all."

"I don't have problems with people smoking medical marijuana. I have problems with people who smoke it and then the person next door has to suffer from the secondhand smoke," Pentin said.

"When people take medication, it normally doesn't affect anybody else. And in this case, it does affect other people," Mayor Jerry Thorne added. "I just kind of wonder where you draw the line here. It's kind of darned if you do, darned if you don't."

How Dangerous Is Secondhand Marijuana Smoke?

DECEMBER 2, 2014 BY AARON WYSOCKI — [LEAVE A COMMENT](#)

[Share](#) 198 [Tweet](#) 0 [Print](#) [More](#)



Smoking marijuana is typically thought of as not that bad, especially compared to the hazards of drinking. But is secondhand smoke from marijuana more dangerous than people think? Or does it damage your lungs, heart, and blood vessels in the same ways as cigarette smoke? Find out with John and Sandra!

What do you think of this study? Will it deter you from smoking marijuana, or spending time around those who do? Let us know in the comments!

The Public



The Sci
Explains
Paleont

... [IRead M](#)



Game O

“The Young Turks Network”

How Dangerous Is Secondhand Marijuana Smoke?

DECEMBER 2, 2014 BY AARON WYSOCKI — LEAVE A COMMENT

Share 198 Tweet 0 Print More



Smoking marijuana is typically thought of as not that bad, especially compared to the hazards of drinking. But is secondhand smoke from marijuana more dangerous than people think? Or does it damage your lungs, heart, and blood vessels in the same ways as cigarette smoke? Find out with John and Sandra!

What do you think of this study? Will it deter you from smoking marijuana, or spending time around those who do? Let us know in the comments!

The Public

“The Young Turks Network”

Acute and long-term cardiovascular risk is unclear

Risk of MI goes up ~5-fold in the hour after marijuana use (Mittleman, 2001)

No clear correlation between long-term marijuana use and cardiovascular disease later in life (e.g., CARDIA study)

...but, 3-fold increase in risk of death from hypertension reported for marijuana users relative to non-users (Yankey, 2017)



SUPPLEMENTS

HEALTHY EATING

FITNESS

HEALTHY MIND

HEALTHY COMMUNITY

SELF CARE

SHOP

SUBSCRIBE



EXPERT Q&A

Do Researchers Have the Right Marijuana?

by [JEANINE BARONE](#) | OCTOBER 25, 2016



[Matt Springer, PhD](#), is a Professor of Medicine at the University of California, San Francisco, who studies the effects of secondhand marijuana smoke. He spoke with us about the implications

of the [recent announcement](#) by the federal Drug Enforcement Administration that it will lift restrictions on the growing of marijuana to supply researchers.

How will the DEA's announcement affect your research?

Not as much as you might think. I still will not be able to study what I'd like to. I'd like to study real-world cannabis used by real people. UCSF used real-world cigarettes, not research-reference cigarettes, for tobacco studies in the past. But

ADVERTISEMENT

 **Myrbetriq**[®]
(mirabegron)
extended-release tablets
25 mg, 50 mg

Get your first
prescription
at no cost!*

[Start now >](#)

*Restrictions apply.



IMPORTANT SAFETY INFORMATION

Myrbetriq[®] (mirabegron) is not for everyone. Do not use Myrbetriq if you have an allergy to



Public Release

Health Effects of Cannabis and Cannabinoids

*Current State of
Evidence and
Recommendations for
Research*

This report will be available to
download as a free pdf:
[Nationalacademies.org/CannabisHealthEffects](https://www.nationalacademies.org/CannabisHealthEffects)

The National Academies of
SCIENCES • ENGINEERING • MEDICINE



Cardiometabolic Risk

- The evidence is unclear as to whether and how cannabis use is associated with heart attack, stroke, and diabetes.

Policy Goals:

Public exposure to secondhand smoke should be avoided whether the source is tobacco or marijuana.

Policy Goals:

Change the perception and the dialogue

Policy Goals:

Change the perception and the dialogue



“There’s tar and chemicals”

“It’s gross!”

“It’s bad for you”

“No one said ‘It ISN’T ok”

stay tuned

If the FMD impairment by marijuana smoke is caused by the dried plant material combustion smoke, rather than the THC...

How Dangerous Is Secondhand Marijuana Smoke?

DECEMBER 2, 2014 BY [AARON WYSOCKI](#) — [LEAVE A COMMENT](#)

[Share](#) 198 [Tweet](#) 0 [Print](#) [More](#)



Smoking marijuana is typically thought of as not that bad, especially compared to the hazards of drinking. But is secondhand smoke from marijuana more dangerous than people think? Or does it damage your lungs, heart, and blood vessels in the same ways as cigarette smoke? Find out with John and Sandra!

What do you think of this study? Will it deter you from smoking marijuana, or spending time around those who do? Let us know in the comments!



The Sci
Explain
Paleont

... [IRead M](#)



Game O



English	Nederlands	Deutsch
Temperature: 180°C (356°F)	Temperatuur: 180°C (356°F)	Temperatur: 180°C (356°F)
Power: 20W (70W max)	Macht: 20W (70W max)	Leistung: 20W (70W max)
RH: 100%	RH: 100%	RH: 100%
Flow Rate: 1.5L/min	Stroom: 1.5L/min	Flussrate: 1.5L/min



A DIRECT CONNECTION!!!



